

Inorganic and organic foliar fertilisation in olives

STAVROS SOTIROPOULOS^{1*}, CHRISTOS CHATZISSAVVIDIS², IOANNIS PAPADAKIS³,
VIKTOR KAVVADIAS⁴, CHRISTOS PASCHALIDIS¹, CHRYSOVALANTOU ANTONOPOULOU²,
ANTONIA KORIKI¹

¹School of Agriculture and Food, University of Peloponnese, Antikalamos, Kalamata, Greece

²Department of Agricultural Development, Democritus University of Thrace, Orestiada, Greece

³Department of Plant Production Science, School of Plant Sciences, Agricultural University of Athens, Athens, Greece

⁴Department of Soil Science of Athens, Hellenic Agricultural Organization-DEMETER Institute of Soil and Water Resources, Lykovrisi, Greece

*Corresponding author: ssotiropis@hotmail.com

Citation: Sotiropoulos S., Chatzissavvidis C., Papadakis I., Kavvadias V., Paschalidis C., Antonopoulou C., Koriki A. (2023): Inorganic and organic foliar fertilisation in olives. Hort. Sci. (Prague), 50: 1–11.

Abstract: The application of nutrient solutions to the foliage of plants is an alternative means to fertilise crops. Foliar fertilisation is used to overcome the disadvantages of soil applications. Variable plant responses to these foliar fertilisation spray solutions have often been described in the literature. However, knowledge about the penetration mechanisms, the role of a leaf-applied inorganic or organic solution, and the results obtained by its application is still limited. The complex character of the factors determining the effects of sprays hinders the development of suitable foliar fertilisation strategies, applicable under variable local conditions and for various plant types. This review describes some basic factors involved in the penetration process of foliar sprays in olive (*Olea europaea* L.) trees. Chemistry, leaf penetration, and plant nutrition principles will be merged with the aim of clarifying the constraints, opportunities, and future perspectives of sprays to cure olive tree nutrient deficiencies and, hence, both increase the yield and improve the fruit quality.

Keywords: deficiency; fertilisation; nutrient; olive; penetration; sprays

The olive (*Olea europaea* L.) has constituted as being the most important tree crop since antiquity. The olive is generally a tree with few needs with excellent adaptation in the temperate Mediterranean climate. Despite the accumulated knowledge on cultivation practices, modern olive culturing management must place an emphasis on fertilisation in order to achieve satisfactory growth and fruit production as well as obtaining high quality olives and olive oil (Therios 2009). There is evidence that an adequate nutritional status for optimal olive growth is also optimal for olive tolerance to some biotic or abi-

otic stresses (Huber et al. 2012; Sanzani et al. 2012; Fernández-Escobar 2019). Olive trees grow and set fruits in a large variety of soil types, even those ones with low fertility. However, they are most productive in loamy soils of medium nutrient status that retain adequate humidity. Heavy soils with a low drainage capacity are inappropriate for olive cultivation, as their output is decreased and the qualitative characteristics of the produced olive oil are degraded. With regards to the chemical characteristics of soil, olive trees thrive in neutral or slightly alkaline (pH 7–8) and slightly acidic soils (pH 6–7). In pH val-

ues lower than five and above 8.5, the growth and production of the olive are decreased. In general, olive trees easily adapt to dry thermal conditions, but they do not tolerate poorly drained and poorly ventilated soils. In addition to growing in a wide range of soil pHs, as already mentioned, the olive is characterised as a species moderately resistant to salinity (Therios 2009) (Table 1).

The olive tree has an abundant root system that efficiently utilises the soil moisture. Leaf transpiration is limited while the resistance to dry conditions is elevated due to the characteristics of the leaves which are leathery with a thick waxy layer, having an abaxial small, dense and sunk stomata. On the other hand, its needs in nutrients, which are largely determined by the quantities removed (Table 2), are proportional to the yield that is directly related to the age and the size of the canopy of the olive trees (El-Fouly et al.

2014; Fernández-Escobar et al. 2015). The critical stages for the adequacy of nutrients and water in olives are the following: (i) differentiation of the buds; (ii) flowering and fruiting; and (iii) hardening of the stone of the olive fruit and the rapid growth of the fruit (Therios, 2009).

Olive tree nutrition. To achieve the optimal nutrition in the olive (Table 3), the evaluation of the nutritional status of the tree is succeeded by determining the leaf nutrient concentrations (Beutel et al. 1983; Connell, Vossen 2007; Molina-Soria, Fernández-Escobar 2012).

Testing the soil and analysing the leaves should be used routinely to monitor the changes in the nutrient level and to conduct the annual fertilisation programme. The rational use of fertilisers is a key factor not only for increasing the yield, but for ameliorating the oil quality as well. According to several studies, manipulation of the nutrient levels in foliar fertilisers may optimise the olive oil composition and, as a result, the olive oils are enriched with beneficial phytochemicals, such as hydroxytyrosol, mannitol, and polyphenols (Tekaya et al. 2013; Tekaya et al. 2016; D'Amato et al. 2017). This is very important as phenolic compounds influence the sensory properties (flavour, bitterness, etc.) of olives and oil, and they protect them against oxidative rancidity by acting as antioxidants (Servili, Montedoro 2002).

The primary function of nitrogen (N) is to provide amino groups in an amino acid constituent of bases in the nucleotides of purine and pyrimidine. Besides these, N is an essential constituent of many non-protein compounds, such as coenzymes, photosynthetic pigments, secondary metabolites and polyamines and vitamins (Pandey 2015). N is the mineral nutrient mostly applied in olive orchards, since it is a ma-

Table 1. Optimum soil characteristics for establishing an olive orchard.

Soil characteristic	Value
Soil texture	medium
Drainage	good
Salinity	moderate
pH	6.5–8.0
Organic matter (% w/w)	> 1
Total N (% w/w)	0
CaCO ₃ (% w/w)	2–20
Available P (mg/kg)	15–30
Exchangeable K (meq/100 g)	0.5–1.0
Exchangeable Ca (meq/100 g)	6–12
Exchangeable Mg (meq/100 g)	1–3
Available B (mg/kg)	> 0.3

Source: Therios (2009); Bienes et al. (2018)

Table 2. Average nutrient removal of fruits, pruned material and total nutrients per year per olive tree

Amount of nutrient element/tree	Fruits (65 kg/tree)	Pruned material (wood + leaves)	Total amount (nutrient/ year/ tree)
Nitrogen (kg)	0.176	0.090	0.266
Phosphorus (kg)	0.025	0.011	0.036
Potassium (kg)	0.244	0.045	0.289
Calcium (kg)	0.029	0.174	0.203
Manganese (g)	0.218	0.370	0.588
Zinc (g)	0.250	0.130	0.380
Copper (g)	0.184	0.217	0.401
Iron (g)	2.734	1.141	3.875
Boron (g)	0.481	0.120	0.601

Source: El-Fouly et al. (2014); Fernández-Escobar et al. (2015)

<https://doi.org/10.17221/69/2022-HORTSCI>

Table 3. Concentrations of the optimal nutrition in the leaves that determine the nutritional status of the olive tree

Nutrient	Deficient	Optimum	Toxic
Nitrogen	< 1.4%	1.5–2.0%	> 2.55%
Phosphorus	< 0.05%	0.1–0.3%	> 0.34%
Potassium	< 0.4%	0.8–1.0%	> 1.65%
Calcium	< 0.6%	1.0–1.43%	> 3.15%
Magnesium	< 0.08%	0.10–0.16%	> 0.69%
Sulfur	< 0.02%	0.08–0.16%	> 0.32%
Iron	< 40 ppm	90–124 ppm	> 460 ppm
Zinc	< 8 ppm	10–24 ppm	> 84 ppm
Boron	< 14 ppm	19–150 ppm	> 185 ppm
Manganese	< 5 ppm	20–36 ppm	> 164 ppm
Copper	< 1.5 ppm	4–9 ppm	> 78 ppm
Sodium			> 0.20%
Chloride		100 ppm	> 0.5 %

major nutritional factor affecting plant growth. The olive yield and oil composition are influenced by nitrogen fertilisation (Fernández-Escobar et al. 2006; Dag et al. 2009). Depending on the soil fertility and moisture, a dosage of 500–1500 g N/tree is recommended.

Phosphorus, as a structural element, is a constituent of nucleic acids (DNA, RNA) and phospholipids of the biomembranes. Moreover, phosphorus (P) has a major role in the energy transfer reactions and the concentration of P_i (orthophosphate), which has a very important role in the process of photosynthesis (Pandey 2015). The absence of this nutrient is not common whereas phosphate fertilisation can be necessary in acidic soils or soils containing high amounts of $CaCO_3$. When phosphate fertilisation is necessary, it should not exceed the range of 1/3–1/5 of the amount of N added. Therefore, if one kg N/tree is added, the corresponding amount of phosphate should not exceed 200–333 g P_2O_5 /tree.

In the olive, stomatal conductance under drought conditions is reportedly higher in K-deficient plants (loses water more easily) than in well-nourished ones displaying lower water-use efficiency and, therefore, has lower drought tolerance (Restrepo-Díaz et al. 2009). The explanation may be that K plays an important role in the stomatal opening and closure regulating the plant water status (Poffenroth et al. 1991). Other studies reported that under these conditions, the addition of Na^+ increases the stomatal conductance and have identified the olive as a species capable of partly replacing potassium (Na) by sodium (N) (Erel et al. 2014; Erel et al. 2015). Since K plays an important role in the regulation of the water sta-

tus in olive trees, maintaining the leaf K concentrations above the sufficiency threshold is highly recommended. However, it has also been reported that the K uptake by olive trees is restricted by both the K deficiency of the tree and water stress (Restrepo-Díaz et al. 2008), indicating that a K fertiliser must be applied before the deficiency threshold is reached, and when trees present good water status, usually in spring if growing in drylands. The amount of K should be determined in combination with N, namely, the K dosage is adjusted to be equal to that of N (Rodrigues et al. 2012).

Calcium (Ca) stabilises membranes and influences their function. It also contributes to the re-sealing of the plasma membrane following damage (Schapire et al. 2009). A calcium deficiency can be corrected rather easily by adding 5–10 kg of CaO per tree. To avoid a Ca deficiency, the soil pH must be determined before planting a new orchard while the amount of Ca added must be determined after a soil analysis.

Magnesium is a component of chlorophyll and is required for photosynthesis and protein synthesis. To correct an Mg deficiency, 300–500 g of Mg can be applied, or alternatively, foliar sprays can be applied with 2–4% soluble $MgSO_4$ dilution (Sanzani et al. 2012).

The most important micronutrient for olive tree is boron (B) which is positively related with the yield, interacts with other elements, and influences the growth performance, nutrient status, and gas exchange parameters (Chatzissavvidis, Therios 2010; Hegazi et al. 2018). The role of B in the cell wall struc-

ture has long been recognised and there is a particular positive role of B in pollen tube growth in different plants and in the olive too, enhancing the fruit set (Perica et al. 2001a). The boron availability to plants decreases with an increasing soil pH, particularly in calcareous soils or those with a high clay content. In olive trees, as in most other plants, B presents high phloem mobility, since it produces polyols (sorbitol, mannitol, dulcitol, etc.) translocated in the phloem to satisfy the demands for B (Brown, Shelp 1997; Perica et al. 2001b). Boron fertilisation in trees, with a boron deficiency, with borax (15% B) at 200 g or 400 g per tree 70 days before full flowering, showed and increased yield, except for the borax at 200 g/tree, but both fertilisations increased the B concentration in the leaves and inflorescences (Chatzissavvidis et al. 2011). In fully productive trees, 300–500 g sodium pyroborate or sodium tetraborate (borax) is added to the soil to control any B deficiency, while a smaller amount is used for younger trees (10 g for each year of the tree from the time of its planting) (Rodrigues et al. 2012; Sanzani et al. 2012). For a faster response, soluble sodium pyroborate can be applied by foliar fertilisation or through the irrigation system. Deficiencies of other nutrients, such as Fe, Zn, even Cu (although very rare) have also been reported in olive trees (Fernández-Escobar et al. 1993; Sanzani et al. 2012; Zipori et al. 2018).

Foliar fertilisation. The aim of using fertilisers is to maintain the nutrient status in plants by replenishing the amount of nutrients annually removed by them. Fertilisers can be applied to plants in three ways: (i) to the soil, which is the most common method to encourage root absorption; (ii) to the leaves, to encourage foliar penetration; and (iii) to the vascular system, through trunk or branch injections for the trees. The effect of nutrients on the plant growth is due to their role in plant metabolism and the proper management of plant nutrition may mitigate the negative effects of some biotic or abiotic stresses (Huber et al. 2012).

Foliar fertilisation (or foliar feeding) has been used as a means of supplying micro- and macronutrients, plant hormones, stimulants, and other beneficial substances to plants. It involves the application—through spraying of nutrients to the plant foliage and their absorption helps to overcome the adverse physical and chemical properties of the soil. Foliar applications have been proven to be economically successful, mainly for tree crops and grapevines, but it has also been used for cereals and vegetables

(Voogt et al. 2013). Nutrients applied to the leaves can save a crop when soil-applied nutrients would be too slow to correct a deficiency. Foliar fertilisation is newer compared to the rest of the methods and its main advantages are: (i) very rapid effects and, hence, the instant correction of sudden deficiencies; (ii) possibility of carrying out targeted treatments in critical phases (pre-flowering, fruit setting and growth, etc.); (iii) reduction of the quantities of fertilisers needed by reducing nutrient losses; (iv) foliar fertilisation may be combined with pesticides. Foliar fertilisation may be necessary when the soil characteristics block the nutrient absorption from the soil. Used in both conventional and alternative production systems in combination with soil fertilisation, it is an auxiliary means for improving the crop nutrition. The effectiveness of a foliar fertiliser depends on the formulation of the active ingredient, the atomisation of the spray solution, the transfer of the spray to the target plant surface and the droplet impaction, the spreading and retention on the leaf surface, and the residue formation and penetration into the leaf (Brazee et al. 2004). The unperforated cuticle or breaks on it, openings in the epidermis, such as the stomata and hydathodes, are possible sites of entrance for foliar applied chemicals (Fernández et al. 2021). The process of foliar penetration of a leaf-applied solution is multifold and depends upon an array of environmental (notably, the moisture and temperature) and plant factors such as the leaf age and, specifically, in terms of the nutrient absorption, older leaves are less efficient than younger ones (Fernández et al. 2021). The environment has an essential influence (climate, soil conditions, nutrient status, stage of growth, conditions during application) on the species and formulation of the crop response indicated by the difference in the field crop response to foliar fertilisation. The comprehension of the conditions that lead to a positive crop response remains a major challenge. Plant research has shown that a foliar application with a small amount of nutrients (N, K, P, B etc.) increases the yield of crops (Asenjo et al. 2000). The efficacy of foliar nutrient fertilisation depends not only on the absorption of the nutrients, but also on the ability to be transported to other plant organs including the fruits, grains, young leaves, or flowers (Fernández et al. 2013). On the other hand, the main disadvantages of foliar fertilisation are: (i) the product may be leached if moderate rainfall takes place after the application and it possibly needs to be repeated,

<https://doi.org/10.17221/69/2022-HORTSCI>

and (ii) phytotoxicity may occur at high concentrations (Fageria et al. 2009). Nevertheless, it is an effective technique of fertilising dry-farmed orchards.

Foliar fertilisation of olive trees. The foliar fertilisation of olives cannot completely replace nutrition through the roots. However, the results of many experiments with olive groves located in different environments, using one or a combination of several trade fertilisers, and special products for olives, confirmed the effectiveness of this practice for the nutrition of olives (Ferreira et al. 2019). Foliar applications at critical phenological stages, such as the pre-inflorescence, inflorescence, after the fruit-set and after the stone hardening, accelerate the vegetative growth and reproductive development, encouraging shoot growth and improving the fruit-set (Perica et al. 2001b; Chatzissavvidis et al. 2011). Foliar sprays during the summer boost the yield and improve the nutritional quality of the oil as the olive fruit is very efficient at removing nutrients from the leaves (Jordão et al. 1990; Chatzissavvidis et al. 2004). The N provided through foliar fertilisation during the oil accumulation phase in olive trees under stress conditions, prompted significant effects on the tree vegetative and productive activity (Regni, Proietti 2019). It has been found that repeated foliar applications of N in the critical stages can maintain adequate leaf N levels throughout the growing season (Ferreira et al. 1978). Foliar N fertilisation with urea solutions (1–4%) can be particularly useful in rain-fed olive groves, especially with low water availability in the soil during the critical stone hardening period (Fernández-Escobar et al. 2011). This treatment can also be applied in other periods with temporary deficiencies. The leaves absorb more than half of the administered N in one day.

Phosphorus foliar fertilisation in the form of monopotassium phosphate (MKP; 1–3%) may be appropriate in some cases. Foliar applications with MKP (MKP 3% alone or plus urea or plus a surfactant) on olive trees, in general, improved the P concentration in the leaves mostly and the secondary K concentration, given that a P deficiency can affect the olive production, but also the quality of the olive oil produced (Dag et al. 2009; Barranco et al. 2010; Haberman et al. 2021).

The foliar K uptake can be conditioned by the nutritional status in the olive tree, being more effective when plants are low or deficient in K (Restrepo-Diaz et al. 2009; Fernández-Escobar et al. 2011). In soils with a low K content, or in olives with a K

deficiency, foliar applications are recommended, such as KNO_3 (1–3%), which is extremely soluble. Olive plants sprayed with KCl solutions (0.2 and 4%) showed a positive linear response to increasing leaf K applications while the foliar K uptake was higher in the young olive leaves than in the mature ones (Southwick et al. 1996; Restrepo-Diaz et al. 2009). A foliar fertilisation with KNO_3 during the second and third phase of development of the fruit gave the best results in terms of the fruit size and flesh to pit ratio, whereas the oil quality was only marginally affected (Inglese et al. 2002). Foliar sprays with K_2SO_4 in the same stages also gave very satisfactory results (Inglese et al. 2002). Potassium salts (KCl, K_2SO_4 , KNO_3 , K_2CO_3 , and KH_2PO_4), as a foliar spray, seem to be effective in increasing the leaf K concentration. These foliar applications effectively increase the K content in K-deficient olive plants (and, therefore, the drought tolerance is improved) and proved, as already mentioned, to be more effective on the young leaves (Restrepo-Diaz et al. 2009; Saykhul et al. 2014). Moreover, the K spray application frequency affects the Fe and Zn concentrations in olive stems and leaves (Saykhul et al. 2014). A positive effect was observed with foliar KNO_3 applications on the salinity tolerance (Larbi et al. 2019) and, furthermore, the K_2SO_4 application improved the freezing tolerance and oil quality (Petridis et al. 2012; Atta, Mohamed 2017; Saadati et al. 2020).

As the Ca is concerned, the results suggest that foliar treatments with CaCl_2 plus salicylic acid may be useful in increasing the salt tolerance in olives, for use in arid and semi-arid environments by alleviating the toxic effects (Methenni et al. 2018). Furthermore, the beneficial effect of Ca on the salinity tolerance was achieved by foliar CaCl_2 applications (Larbi et al. 2019). Olive trees (cvs. Kalamata and Manzanillo) sprayed with CaCl_2 (21% Ca) and chelated Ca presented an improved number of inflorescences/shoot, the number of total flowers/inflorescence, the fruit set and yield and a decreasing fruit drop percentage (El-Hady et al. 2020). Thus, for this relatively salt-tolerant and economically important crop, K and Ca are recommended to be applied as a means to mitigate the harmful effects of salinity. Moreover, foliar applications of CaCl_2 at full bloom and after the fruit set improved the oil content and olive quality (Desouky et al. 2009; Morales-Sillero et al. 2020). More research is needed in the case of foliar spraying with CaO since the results show great variability among different olive cultivars in terms of its effect on the quality of the

olive oil (Gouvinhas, Barros 2020). Foliar applications of $\text{Ca}(\text{NO}_3)_2$ 3% plus GA_3 at 10 and 20 ppm, applied in three stages, mid-April, mid-May and during the pit hardening stage, reduced the percentage of the fruit drop and increased the yield. The best results presented when $\text{Ca}(\text{NO}_3)_2$ at 3% plus GA_3 at 10 and 20 ppm was applied in mid-May followed by treatments during the pit hardening stage and mid-April, respectively, with significant impacts on the fruit, seed and flesh weight (Mikhail, Goargios 2014). Furthermore, spraying olive seedlings with $\text{Ca}(\text{NO}_3)_2$ had a positive effect on the plant height, lateral shoot number, stem diameter, root length and root number depending on the dose and application stage (Haggag et al. 2011).

Magnesium deficiencies can be overcome with MgSO_4 0.5–3%, while MgO solutions were found to ameliorate the yield and oil quality (Gavalas, 1978; Zouari et al. 2014; Tekaya et al. 2016; Atta, Mohamed 2017). The results also indicated that foliar application with MgSO_4 , especially at 1.5%, improved the vegetative growth, fruit set, yield, fruit and oil quality of 'Koroneiki' olives (Mahmoud et al. 2017). Foliar sprays with $\text{Mg}(\text{NO}_3)_2$ and $\text{Ca}(\text{NO}_3)_2$ are also recommended, especially in olive groves with acidic soils.

A foliar B application increases the percentage of perfect flowers, the fruit set and the yield without negative effects on the fruit size, while the beneficial effects of the foliar B application were greater when the fruit set was low. Foliar sprays of boron at 30 and 60 g B/tree in trees under boron deficiency with leafy product containing 17% B, at 567 mg B/L in the pre- and/or post-flowering stage, positively affected the yield, with the highest value was found in trees subjected to one spray before and one spray after the full bloom, showing a 98% increase compared to the control (Chatzissavvidis et al. 2011). In terms of the number of flowers per inflorescence, it increased in the trees treated with more than one B foliar application, increasing the B concentration in the leaves and inflorescences (Chatzissavvidis et al. 2011). Despite the encouraging effects of B foliar sprays, there are reports suggesting that B mobility seems to be cultivar-dependent (Ferreira et al. 2019). The results obtained depend on the stage that the foliar B applications took place, namely, prior to flowering, during the period of the floral bud initiation or after the fruit set (Perica et al. 2001b). Boron toxicity symptoms may occur after foliar spraying with a high B dosage including in-

hibition of the cell wall expansion and root growth, and a reduction in the leaf area, photosynthetic rate and plant growth (Nable et al. 1997; Reid et al. 2004; Chatzissavvidis, Therios 2010). Also, foliar applications with mixed boric acid (B 100 ppm) and CaCl_2 (Ca 2%) increased the fruit set, olive quality and oil content (Desouky et al. 2009).

The results showed that spraying 'Manzanillo' olive trees with Zn (ZnSO_4 0.5%) had a positive effect on the olive fruit weight, total fruit yield per tree and oil content (Haggag et al. 2015). Experiments with foliar applications of ZnSO_4 , boric acid and their combination resulted in the promotion of the soluble sugar, oil content, oleic acid and phenolic compounds of olive fruits (Saadati et al. 2013).

Foliar applications were carried out including foliar treatments using a combination of nutrients, for example a formulation of urea formaldehyde that includes N with B, Cu, Fe, Mn, Mo and Zn, applied at the start of vegetation, 10 and 20 days later, and another one rich in B, Mg, S and Mn, applied at the beginning of flowering and 10 days later, finally with a combination of the above treatments. These treatments were suggested to elevate the nutrient levels, but simultaneously caused a decrease in the total phenols and tocopherols in the olive fruits (Tekaya et al. 2013). Other reports revealed that boric acid alone or with a mixture of chelated Fe, Zn and Mn as foliar applications on olive trees improved their vegetative growth, increased the fruit set, yield and fruit quality (Sourour et al. 2011). The results proved that a foliar application of zinc sulfate 2 g/L alone, boric acid plus urea 5 g/L and zinc sulfate along with boric acid 2 g/L are highly effective in increasing the fruit set and yield and in diminishing the shot berries (Sayyad-Amin et al. 2015). Also, selenium (Se) contributes to controlling the water status of plants, prevents oxidative stress, delays senescence, and promotes growth (D'Amato et al. 2020). Studies have shown that a foliar Se-fertilisation before flowering enriched virgin olive oils in the phenolics (up to 401 mg/kg), such as oleasin, ligustroside, aglycone and oleocanthal, due to the increased PAL (L-Phenylalanine Ammonia-Lyase) activity, independently largely by climate (D'Amato et al. 2017).

The application of selenium (Se) on the olive foliage enhanced the production of the antioxidant compounds in the oil, such as chlorophylls, carotenoids, phenols, and selenomethionine (SeMet), elevating the olive oil stability against oxidation and, as a consequence, its shelf-life (D'Amato et al. 2018). Also,

<https://doi.org/10.17221/69/2022-HORTSCI>

the important role of Se has been reported to alleviate the negative effect of water deficiency on the fruit production (D'Amato et al. 2018).

Other studies also present the effects of silicon (Si) foliar sprays. Although Si might be more effective when applied directly to the soil, or through irrigation water, its foliar application seems to alleviate stressed plants, including the olive, from abiotic and biotic factors (Savvas, Ntatsi 2015; Fernández-Escobar 2019).

Organic foliar fertilisation of olive trees. There is controversy surrounding the role of the humus on the plant nutrition. Initially, there was the hypothesis that humus is the only material which supplies nutrients to plants. However, in the last few years, there have been several reports which refer to the enhancement of plant growth promoted by the application of humic substances. This activity seems to be caused by the hormone-like materials present in the humic substances (De Sanfilippo et al. 1990). They are of particular interest in increasing the resistance of plants to salinity by foliar sprays with salicylic acid solutions (Naem et al. 2020). The efficacy of leaf applications with organic substances on the olive has not been fully documented. Studies have shown that leaf applications with the antioxidants, ascorbic or citric acid, on olive trees grown in calcareous soil, improved the yield and fruit quality (Maksoud et al. 2009). In practice, the application of organic foliar fertilisers to olives aims at increasing the yield and producing a higher product quality, such as the elevated content of polyphenols, reduced acidity of olive oil, etc.

Foliar applications of amino acids, humic acids and antioxidants, such as ascorbic acid, on olive trees led to an increased yield, an increase in the total antioxidants, phenols and sugars, a higher oil content and an improved nutrient status (Fawy 2015). There are reports of the cultivation of edible and olive-bearing olives, which report that leaf biofertilisation causes an increase in the production due to the improved fruit set, an improvement in the quality characteristics, e.g., increase in the fruit size, especially under weather conditions unfavourable for the metabolic processes of fruiting. The results showed that the application of an organic liquid in olive leaves, which consists of beet molasses, great sources of N and K, or other organic materials rich in P, activated the metabolic activity of the olive and had positive effects on the number of flowers, as well as on the leaves, as well as their development and stomatal

density (Dabbaghi et al. 2018a). Also, leaf biofertilisation has been reported to affect the characteristics of the leaves, flower buds and flowers in the flowering period, to change some biometric, biochemical parameters and enzymatic operation of the olive tree, which aimed to prepare the olive tree to the fruit set (Dabbaghi et al. 2018a). Foliar applications with biofertilisers rich in N, P, K, or Ca led to the improved physiological status of olive trees through the enhancement of some phytohormones and amino acids (Dabbaghi et al. 2018b). In addition, seaweed extracts (SWE) containing growth regulators (auxins, cytokinins, abscisic acid, salicylic and gibberellic acid), polyphenols and polysaccharides, when a foliar application was applied, increased the yield, oil content, improved the oil quality, and accelerated the maturation of the fruits (Chouliaras et al. 2008). Studies conducted with transplants of olive trees sprayed with ascorbic acid and humic acid showed a significant impact on most characteristics. Specifically, the leaf application of humic acid increased the plant height, leaf fresh and dry weight, leaf chlorophyll content, whereas the foliar application with ascorbic acid increased the plant height, leaf number and area, and lateral shoot number (Azad et al. 2014). Investigations with olive trees sprayed with humic acid at the beginning of the fruit set, led to a higher yield, fruit size and weight, and pulp/pit ratio (Haggag et al. 2013). An improvement in the set of physical characteristics of the olives after the application of amino acids and extra K has been also observed (Hernández-Hernández et al. 2019). Other studies have shown the potential of an extract obtained from the aquatic duckweed (*Lemna minor* L.), with a high content of phenolic acids, phenols and flavonoids, to act as a biostimulant in olives, improving the leaf photosynthetic activity and chlorophyll content, plant growth and nutritional status (Regni et al. 2021).

It has been suggested that a foliar application of leonardite extract preparations to young olive plants stimulated the shoot growth when they were growing with no fertilisation. Moreover, under field conditions, the foliar application of the leonardite extracts stimulated the shoot growth and promoted the accumulation of K, B, Mg, Ca and Fe in the leaves. However, when the leaf N and K levels were below the threshold limit of the sufficiency range, the foliar application of humic substances was ineffective in promoting the accumulation of these nutrients in the leaves (Fernández-Escobar et al. 1996). Organic foliar applications of an organic product contain-

ing 26% humic and fulvic acids as well as nutrients (N 0.1%, P₂O₅ 0.01%, K₂O 5%, CaO 0.03%, MgO 0.03%, trace elements, organic C 10.5%) and the soil type, positively affected the vigour and the fruit weight (Sotiropoulos et al. 2020).

The application of a foliar spray with a bio-organic fertiliser, formulated from a successful culture of cyanobacteria mixed strains in 1:1 tap water-diluted olive vegetation water was very effective in improving the growth, quality and productivity of ‘Manzanillo’ olive trees (Mostafa et al. 2016). Also, olive seedlings sprayed with amino acids at 0.5% plus microelements, presented with an increased plant height, more branches and leaves, a bigger stem diameter and leaf area (Yousef et al. 2011).

Conclusions and future perspectives. The use of organic foliar fertilisers, additionally with soil-applied ones, provides more environmentally friendly, target-oriented and effective nutrition to olive trees. When choosing a proper organic fertiliser with a suitable activator, there is a great possibility to succeed with the amelioration of the physical self-defence and resilience of olive trees. One of the goals of foliar fertilisation is to reduce the likelihood of a relative nutritional deficiency and to optimise the effectiveness of the foliar fertiliser applied. In conclusion, the response of olive trees to foliar fertilisation depends on the interaction among the environmental conditions, plant genotype and fertiliser formulation. The factors that define the effectiveness of inorganic and organic foliar fertilisation are complicated and encompass aspects of physics, chemistry and the environment.

The efficacy of an organic foliar application in the case of olives has not been fully documented, despite the excellent and encouraging results in many cases. Interestingly, while some basic principles of the usage of foliar fertilisers in olives are well known, there are many problems that remain to be solved and knowledge needs to be acquired. Therefore, conducting protocols for the effective foliar nutrition in olives remains a major challenge.

REFERENCES

- Asenjo M.C.G., Gonzalez J.L., Maldonado J.M. (2000): Influence of humic extracts on germination and growth of ryegrass. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis*, 31: 101–114.
- Atta N., Mohamed E. (2017): Effect of foliar fertilization for olive trees on bioactive compounds, purity, and organoleptic attributes of olive oils. *Egyptian Journal of Agricultural Research*, 95: 769–785.
- Azad A.M., Ibrahim Z.R., Abdurrahman A.S. (2014): Effect of foliar spray of humic acid, ascorbic acid, cultivars and their interactions on growth of olive (*Olea europaea* L.) transplants cvs. Khithairy and Sorany. *IOSR Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science*, 7: 18–30.
- Barranco D., Ercan H., Munoz-Diez C., Belaj A., Arquerio O. (2010): Factors influencing the efficiency of foliar sprays of monopotassium phosphate in the olive. *International Journal of Plant Production*, 4: 235–240.
- Beutel J., Uriu K., Lilleland O. (1983): Leaf analysis for California deciduous fruits. In: *Soil and Plant Tissue Testing in California*, University of California Bulletin, 1879: 15–17.
- Bienes R., Rodríguez Rastrero M., Gumuzzio Fernández J., García-Díaz A., Sastre B.E., Gumuzzio Such A. (2018): Methodology for edaphoclimatic assessment of olive cultivation: Application to the area of the quality mark “Olive Oil Madrid” (Spain). *Spanish Journal of Soil Science*, 8: 74–101.
- Brazee R.D., Bukovac M.J., Zhu H. (2004): Diffusion model for plant cuticular penetration by spray-applied weak organic acid bioregulator in presence or absence of ammonium nitrate. *Transactions of the American Society of Agricultural Engineers*, 47: 629–635.
- Brown P.H., Shelp B.J. (1997): Boron mobility in plants. *Plant and Soil*, 193: 85–101.
- Chatzissavvidis C., Antonopoulou C., Therios I., Almaliotis D. (2011): Treatments of B deficient olive (*Olea europaea* L. cv. ‘Chondrolia Chalkidikis’) trees with a soil and a foliar fertilizer containing B and their effect on nutrient status and yield. Conference: Olivebioteq 2011, 4th International Conference on ‘Olive Culture and Biotechnology of Olive Tree Products’, Chania, Crete, Greece: 449–454.
- Chatzissavvidis C., Therios I. (2010): Response of four olive (*Olea europaea* L.) cultivars to six B concentrations: Growth performance, nutrient status and gas exchange parameters. *Scientia Horticulturae*, 127: 29–38.
- Chatzissavvidis C., Therios I., Antonopoulou C. (2004): Seasonal variation of nutrient concentration in two olive (*Olea europaea* L.) cultivars irrigated with high boron water. *The Journal of Horticultural Science and Biotechnology*, 79: 683–688.
- Chouliaras V., Tasioula M., Chatzissavvidis C., Therios I., Tsabolatidou E. (2008): The effects of a seaweed extract in addition to nitrogen and boron fertilization on productivity, fruit maturation, leaf nutritional status and oil quality of the olive (*Olea europaea* L.) cultivar Koroneiki. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, 89: 984–988.
- Connell J.H., Vossen, P.M. (2007): Organic olive orchard nutrition. In: Vossen, P.M., Ed., *Organic olive production*

<https://doi.org/10.17221/69/2022-HORTSCI>

- manual, Publication 3505, University of California, Oakland, California, 37–43.
- D'Amato R., De Feudis M., Hasuoka P.E., Regni L., Pacheco P.H., Onofri A., Businelli D., Proietti P. (2018): The selenium supplementation influences olive tree production and oil stability against oxidation and can alleviate the water deficiency effects. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 9: 1191.
- Dabbaghi O., Tekaya M., M'barki N., Ouled Ali S., Öden S., Mezghani M. A., Attia F., Labidi F., Prinsen E., Hammami M., Mechri B. (2018a): Effect of foliar bio-fertilization on growth and biochemical parameters of olive trees at flowering. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, 41: 2281–2297.
- Dabbaghi O., Tekaya M., Öden S., Willems T., Attia F., Hammami M., Prinsen E., Mechri B. (2018b): Foliar application of bio-fertilizers influenced the endogenous concentrations of phytohormones and amino acids in leaves and roots of olive trees (*Olea europaea* L. cv. 'Chemlali'). *African Journal of Agricultural Research*, 13: 1777–1786.
- Dag A., Ben-David E., Kerem Z., Ben-Gal A., Erel R., Bashsheer L., Yermiyahu U. (2009): Olive oil composition as a function of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium plant nutrition. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, 89: 1871–1878.
- D'Amato R., Proietti P., Onofri A., Regni L., Esposto S., Servili M., Businelli D., Selvaggini R. (2017): Biofortification (Se): Does it increase the content of phenolic compounds in virgin olive oil (VOO)? *PLoS One*, 12: 0176580.
- D'Amato R., Regni L., Falcinelli B., Mattioli S., Benincasa P., Dal Bosco A., Pacheco P., Proietti P., Troni E., Santi C., Businelli D. (2020): Current knowledge on selenium bio-fortification to improve the nutraceutical profile of food: A comprehensive review. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 68: 4075–4097.
- De Sanfilippo E.C., Arguello J.A., Abdala, G., Orioli G.A. (1990): Content of auxin-inhibitor-and gibberellin-like substances in humic acids. *Biologia Plantarum*, 32: 346–351.
- Desouky I. M., Hagagg L.F., Abd El-Migged M.M.M., Kishk Y.F.M., El-Hady E.S. (2009): Effect of boron and calcium nutrients sprays on fruit set, oil content and oil quality of some olive oil cultivars. *World Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 5: 180–185.
- El-Fouly M.M., El-Tawee A.A., Osman I.M.S., El-Din I.S., Shaaban S.H.A. (2014): Nutrient removal from different parts of Koroneiki olive trees grown in sandy soil as a base of fertilizer re-commendation in Egypt. *British Journal of Applied Science & Technology*, 4: 1718–1728.
- El-Hady E.S., Merwad M.A., Shahin M. F.M., Haggag L.F. (2020): Influence of foliar spray with some calcium sources on flowering, fruit set, yield and fruit quality of olive Kalamata and Manzanillo cultivars under salt stress. *Bulletin of the National Research Centre*, 44: 1–6.
- Erel R., Yermiyahu U., Ben-Gal A., Dag A., Schwartz A. (2014): Sodium replacement of potassium in physiological processes of olive trees (var. Barnea) as affected by drought. *Tree Physiology*, 34: 1102–1117.
- Erel R., Yermiyahu U., Ben-Gal A., Dag A., Shapira O., Schwartz A. (2015): Modification of non-stomatal limitation and photoprotection due to K and Na nutrition of olive trees. *Journal of Plant Physiology*, 177: 1–10.
- Fageria N. K., M.P. Barbosa Filho, A. Moreira C., Guimarães M. (2009): Foliar fertilization of crop plants. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, 32: 1044–1064.
- Fawy H.A. (2015): Foliar application of organic acids and antioxidants impact on fruit yield and quality of fig and olive trees in some valleys of Northwestern Coast of Egypt. *Minufiya Journal of Agricultural Research*, 40: 1629–1645.
- Fernández V., Gil-Pelegrin E., Eichert T. (2021): Foliar water and solute absorption: an update. *The Plant Journal*, 105: 870–883.
- Fernández V., Sotiropoulos T., Brown P.H. (2013): Foliar fertilization: Principles and practices. Paris: International Fertilizer Industry Association (IFA).
- Fernández-Escobar R. (2019): Olive nutritional status and tolerance to biotic and abiotic stresses. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 10: 1151.
- Fernández-Escobar R., Barranco D., Benlloch M. (1993): Overcoming iron chlorosis in olive and peach trees using a low-pressure trunk-injection method. *HortScience*, 28: 192–194.
- Fernández-Escobar R., Beltran G., Sanchez-Zamora M.A., Garcia-Novelo J., Aguilera M.P., Uceda M. (2006): Olive oil quality decreases with nitrogen over-fertilization. *HortScience*, 41: 215–219.
- Fernández-Escobar R., Benlloch Barranco D., Dueñas A., Gutiérrezgañán J.A. (1996): Response of olive trees to foliar application of humic substances extracted from leonardite. *Scientia Horticulturae*, 66: 191–200.
- Fernández-Escobar R., Garcia-Novelo J.M., Restrepo-Diaz H. (2011): Mobilization of nitrogen in the olive bearing shoots after foliar application of urea. *Scientia Horticulturae*, 127: 452–454.
- Fernández-Escobar R., Sanchez-Zamora M.A., Garcia-Novelo J.M., Molina-Soria C. (2015): Nutrient removal from olive trees by fruit yield and pruning. *HortScience*, 50: 474–478.
- Ferreira I.Q., Rodrigues M.Â., Arrobas M. (2019): Soil and foliar applied boron in olive: tree crop growth and yield, and boron remobilization within plant tissues. *Spanish Journal of Agricultural Research*, 17: e0901.
- Ferreira J., Pastor M., Magallanes M. (1978): Trials with mineral nutrition of olive tree's leaves. In: *Seminaire sur L' Olivier et Autres Plantes Oleagineuses Cultivees en*

<https://doi.org/10.17221/69/2022-HORTSCI>

- Tunisie; Centre National de Documentation Agricole: Mahdia, Tunisia.
- Gavalas N. (1978): The Inorganic Nutrition and Fertilization of the Olive. Benakeio Phytopathological Institute, Kifissia, Athens, Greece: 82.
- Gouvinhas I., Barros A. I. (2020): Effect of foliar pre-harvest calcium application on the mineral and phytochemical composition of olive oils. *Proceedings*, 70: 66.
- Haberman A., Dag A., Erel R., Zipori I., Shtern N., Ben-Gal A., Yermiyahu U. (2021): Long-term impact of phosphorous fertilization on yield and alternate bearing in intensive irrigated olive cultivation. *Plants*, 10: 1821.
- Haggag L.F., Abd El-Migeed M.M.M., Attia M.F., Shahin M.F.M., Genaidy E.A.E., Mustafa N.S. (2015): Influence of spraying zinc sulphate before and during blooming stage on fruit quality and quantity of “Manzanillo” olives. *Journal of Agricultural Technology*, 11: 875–888.
- Haggag L.F., Shahin M.F.M., El-Migeed M.M.M. (2011): Effect of rates and methods of calcium nitrate application on vegetative growth of Dolcy olive seedlings. *American-Eurasian Journal of Agricultural & Environmental Sciences*, 11: 802–806.
- Haggag L.F., Shahin M.F.M., Mahdy M.A., Eman H.A., El-Hady S. (2013): Effect of spraying humic acid during fruit set stage on fruit quality and quantity of Picual olive trees grown under Sinai condition. *Journal of Applied Sciences Research*, 9: 1484–1489.
- Hegazi E.S., El-Motaium R.A., Yehia T.A., Hashim M.E. (2018): Effect of foliar boron application on boron, chlorophyll, phenol, sugars and hormones concentration of olive (*Olea europaea* L.) buds, leaves, and fruits. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, 41: 749–765.
- Hernández-Hernandez G., Salazar D.M., Martínez-Tomé J., López-Cortés I. (2019): The use of biostimulants in high-density olive. Growing: Quality and production. *Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research*, 10: 1–11.
- Huber D., Römheld V., Weinmann M. (2012): Relationship between nutrition, plant diseases and pests. In: Marschner's Mineral Nutrition of Higher Plants: 283–298.
- Inglese P., Gullo G., Pace L.S. (2002): Fruit growth and olive oil quality in relation to foliar nutrition and time of application. *Acta Horticulturae (ISHS)*, 586: 507–509.
- Jordão P.V., Duarte L., Calouro F., Silva A. (1990): Relationship between fruit and foliar mineral levels in twenty olive cultivars grown in Portugal. *Acta Horticulturae (ISHS)*, 286: 267–270.
- Larbi A., Kchaou H., Gaaliche B., Gargouri K., Boulal H., Morales F. (2019): Supplementary potassium and calcium improves salt tolerance in olive plants. *Scientia Horticulturae*, 260: 08912.
- Mahmoud T.M., Mohamed E.A., El-Sharony T.F. (2017): Influence of foliar application with potassium and magnesium on growth, yield and oil quality of “Koroneiki” olive trees. *American Journal of Food Technology*, 12: 209–220.
- Maksoud M.A., Saleh M.A., El-Shamma M.S., Fouad A.A. (2009): The beneficial effect of biofertilizers and antioxidants on olive trees under calcareous soil conditions. *World Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 5: 350–352.
- Methenni K., Ben M., Issam A., Abderrazek N., Ben S., Mokhtar A., Nabiland Z., Yousse B. (2018): Salicylic acid and calcium pretreatments alleviate the toxic effect of salinity in the Oueslati olive variety. *Scientia Horticulturae*, 233: 349–358.
- Mikhail E. G., Goargios K. G. (2014): Effect of calcium nitrate and gibberellic acid foliar sprays on fruiting and fruit quality of “Manzanillo” and “Dolce” olive cvs. *Egyptian Journal of Horticulture*, 41: 169–182.
- Molina-Soria C., Fernández-Escobar R. (2012): A proposal of new critical leaf nitrogen concentrations in olive. *Acta Horticulturae (ISHS)*, 949: 283–286.
- Morales-Sillero A., Lodolini E. M., Paz Suárez M., Navarrete V., Jiménez M.R., Casanova L., Gregori L., Rallo P., Martín-Vertedor D. (2020): Calcium applications throughout fruit development enhance olive quality, oil yield, and antioxidant compounds' content. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, 101: 1944–1952.
- Mostafa S., El-Taweel A.A., Aly A.A. (2016): Use efficiency of cyanobacteria and olive vegetation water (Cyano/Ovw) biofertilizer for olive trees under different mineral NPK levels. *Egyptian Journal of Horticulture*, 43: 77–107.
- Nable R.O., Banuelos G.S., Paull J.G. (1997): Boron toxicity. *Plant and soil* 193: 181–198.
- Naeem M., Basit A., Ahmad I., Mohamed H.I., Wasila H. (2020): Effect of salicylic acid and salinity stress on the performance of tomato plants. Springer-Verlag GmbH Deutschland, ein Teil von Springer Nature. *Gesunde Pflanzen*, 72: 393–402.
- Pandey R. (2015): Mineral nutrition of plants. Nable R.O., Banuelos G.S., Paull J.G. (1997): Boron toxicity. *Plant and Soil* 193: 181–198.
- Perica S., Bellaloui N., Greve C., Hu H., Brown P.H. (2001b): Boron transport and soluble carbohydrate concentrations in olive. *Journal of the American Society for Horticultural Science*, 126: 291–296.
- Perica S., Brown P.H., Connell J.H., Nyomora A.M.S., Dordas C., Hu H., Stangoulis J. (2001a): Foliar boron application improves flower fertility and fruit set of olive. *HortScience*, 36: 714–716.
- Petridis A., Therios I., Samouris G., Tananaki Ch. (2012): Salinity-induced changes in phenolic compounds in leaves and roots of four olive cultivars (*Olea europaea* L.) and their relationship to antioxidant activity. *Environmental and Experimental Botany*, 79: 37–43.

<https://doi.org/10.17221/69/2022-HORTSCI>

- Poffenroth M., Green D. B., Tallman G. (1991): Sugar concentrations in guard cells of *Vicia faba* illuminated with red or blue light. *Plant Physiology*, 98: 1460–1471.
- Regni L., Del Buono D., Miras-Moreno B., Senizza B., Lucini L., Trevisan M., Morelli Venturi D., Costantino F., Proietti P. (2021): Biostimulant effects of an aqueous extract of duckweed (*Lemna minor* L.) on physiological and biochemical traits in the olive tree. *Agriculture*, 11: 1299.
- Regni L., Proietti P. (2019): Effects of nitrogen foliar fertilization on the vegetative and productive performance of the olive tree and on oil quality. *Agriculture*, 9: 252.
- Reid R.J., Hayes J.E., Post A., Stangoulis J.C.R., Graham R.D. (2004): A critical analysis of the causes of boron toxicity in plants. *Plant, Cell & Environment*, 25: 1405–1414.
- Restrepo-Díaz H., Benlloch M., Fernández-Escobar R. (2009): Leaf potassium accumulation in olive plants related to nutritional K status, leaf age, and foliar application of potassium salts. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, 32: 1108–1121.
- Rodrigues M.A., Ferreira I.Q., Claro A.M., Arrobas M. (2012): Fertilizer recommendations for olive based upon nutrients removed in crop and pruning. *Scientia Horticulturae*, 142: 205–211.
- Saadati S., Baninasab B., Mobli M., Gholami M. (2020): Foliar application of potassium to improve the freezing tolerance of olive leaves by increasing some osmolyte compounds and antioxidant activity. *Scientia Horticulturae*, 276: 109765.
- Saadati S., Moallemi N., Mortazavi S.M.H., Seyyednejad S.M. (2013): Effects of zinc and boron foliar application on soluble carbohydrate and oil contents of three olive cultivars during fruit ripening. *Scientia Horticulturae*, 164: 30–34.
- Sanzani S.M., Schena L., Nigro F., Sergeeva V., Ippolito A., Salerno M.G. (2012): Abiotic diseases of olive. *Journal of Plant Pathology*, 94: 469–491.
- Savvas D., Ntatsi G. (2015): Biostimulant activity of silicon in horticulture. *Scientia Horticulturae*, 196: 66–81.
- Saykhul A., Chatzissavvidis C., Therios I., Dimassi K., Chatzistathis T. (2014): Growth and nutrient status of olive plants as influenced by foliar potassium applications. *Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition*, 14: 602–615.
- Sayyad-Amin P., Shahsavari A.R., Aslmoshtaghi E. (2015): Study on foliar application nitrogen, boron and zinc on olive tree. *Trakya Journal of Sciences*, 2: 131–136.
- Schapiro A.L., Valpuesta V., Botella M.A. (2009): Plasma membrane repair in plants. *Trends in Plant Science*, 14: 645–652.
- Servili M., Montedoro G. (2002): Contribution of phenolic compounds to virgin olive oil quality. *European Journal of Lipid Science and Technology*, 104: 602–613.
- Sotiropoulos S., Chatzissavvidis C., Papadakis I., Kavvadias V., Paschalidis C., Antonopoulou C., Koriki A. (2020): Effects of foliar organic fertilization on “Koroneiki” olive trees in Greece. *Agriculture & Food*, 8: 309–316.
- Sourour M.S.M., Eman E.K. Abdella, Wafaa, El-Sisy A. (2011): Growth and productivity of olive tree as influenced by foliar spray of some micronutrient. *Journal of Agriculture and Environmental Sciences*, 10: 23–39.
- Southwick S.M., Olson W., Yeager J., Weis K.G. (1996): Optimum timing of potassium nitrate spray applications to ‘French’ prune trees. *Journal of the American Society for Horticultural Science*, 121: 326–333.
- Tekaya M., El-Gharbi S., Mechri B., Chehab H., Bchir A., Chraief I., Ayachi M., Boujnah D., Attia F., Hammami M. (2016): Improving performance of olive trees by the enhancement of key physiological parameters of olive leaves in response to foliar fertilization. *Acta Physiologiae Plantarum*, 38: 101.
- Tekaya M., Mechri B., Bchir A., Attia F., Chehab H., Daassa M., Hammami M. (2013): Enhancement of antioxidants in olive oil by foliar fertilization of olive trees. *Journal of the American Oil Chemists’ Society*, 90: 1377–1386.
- Therios I. (2009): Olives: Crop Production Science in Horticulture. CABI.
- Voogt W., Blok Ch., Eveleens B., Marcelis L., Bindraban P. (2013): Foliar Fertilizer Application. Preliminary review. Technical Report, January 2013.
- Yousef A.R.M., Mostafa E.A.M., Saleh M.M.S. (2011): Response of olive seedlings to foliar sprays with amino acids and some micro elements. *Agriculture and Biology Journal of North America*, 2: 1108–1112.
- Zipori I., Yermiyahu U., Tugendhaft Y., Ben-Gal A., Dag A. (2018): The response of olive (*Olea europaea*) trees to zinc nutrition. *Acta Horticulturae (ISHS)*, 1199: 351–356.
- Zouari I., Aiachi-Mezghani M., Mechri B., Labidi F., Attia F., Boujneh D., Chehab H., Hammami M. (2014): The effect of fertilization on carbohydrates status of “Chemlali” olive (*Olea europaea* L.) leaves cultivated under rain-fed conditions. *Proceedings of the 5th International Conference Olivebiotech*: 256–261.

Received: June 15, 2021

Accepted: August 5, 2022

Published online: March 10, 2023